

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/719,422		<b>Applicant(s)</b> FURUTA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Barbara J. Musser		<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Barbara J. Musser.

(2) John Shin.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
none

Claims discussed:  
all

Prior art documents discussed:  
none

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner indicated that the after-final amendment would not be entered, and suggested a declaration showing that the adhesive of Senoo is not adhesive at 180C as argued by applicant.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)